

For my final proposal I plan to implement a lot of feedback from my peer review because they will make my argument stronger and more complete. One of the main suggestions I am going to focus on is improving my target audience and involvement. I will be more specific about who is affected by the issue in Santa Rosa, such as local residents, small business owners, and city officials, and explain how each group is involved or impacted. This will help make my argument more focused and meaningful.

I also plan to add more background and context of the problem. Right now, I mention abandoned buildings and neglected areas, but I don't go into enough detail about why this is happening or how long it has been an issue.

Another important change I will make is to expand on the problem and explain why my solution is beneficial. I will go into more detail about how restoring these areas can improve safety, bring in more business, and make the community feel more positive.

I will also improve my project plan by breaking it into clear major and minor steps. Instead of keeping it general, I will outline specific actions, like cleaning lots, restoring buildings, and getting community involvement, so the plan feels more realistic and organized.

One piece of advice I might not fully follow is expanding too much on every single section, because I want to keep my proposal clear and not overly long or repetitive.

In my peer's work, I found it interesting how clearly they explained their problem and solution. Their organization made it easy to follow. I would like to include a similar level of clarity and structure in my own essay so that my argument is easier to understand.

Overall, this feedback helped me see where my essay needs more detail and organization, and I think making these changes will improve my final draft a lot.